UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

| APPLICATION NO. | FILING DATE ' | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO. |
|-----------------|-------------------------------|----------------------|----------------------|------------------|
| 10/726,316 | 12/02/2003 | Graham C. Charters | GB920020047US1/2798P | 3102 |
| | 7590 01/10/200 W GROUP LLP | EXAMINER | | |
| P O BOX 5141 | | LEWIS, CHERYL RENEA | | |
| PALO ALTO, | CA 94303 | · · | ART UNIT | PAPER NUMBER |
| | | | 2167 | i |
| | | | | |
| | | | NOTIFICATION DATE | DELIVERY MODE |
| | | • | 01/10/2008 | ELECTRONIC |

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Notice of the Office communication was sent electronically on above-indicated "Notification Date" to the following e-mail address(es):

patent@sawyerlawgroup.com nikia@sawyerlawgroup.com

| Ø |
|---|
| |

| | Application No. | Applicant(s) | | | | |
|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|
| | 10/726,316 | CHARTERS, GRAHAM C. | | | | |
| Office Action Summary | Examiner | Art Unit | | | | |
| | Cheryl Lewis | 2167 | | | | |
| The MAILING DATE of this communication ap Period for Reply | pears on the cover sheet with the c | orrespondence address | | | | |
| A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING D. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1. after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statut Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). | DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be time will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the cause the application to become ABANDONE | N. nely filed the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133). | | | | |
| Status | | | | | | |
| 1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 22 (| October 2007. | | | | | |
| · | s action is non-final. | | | | | |
| • | | | | | | |
| closed in accordance with the practice under | Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 45 | 53 O.G. 213. | | | | |
| Disposition of Claims | | | | | | |
| 4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>43-72</u> is/are pending in the application. | | | | | | |
| 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. | | | | | | |
| 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. | | | | | | |
| 6) Claim(s) is/are rejected. | | | | | | |
| 7) Claim(s) <u>43-72</u> is/are objected to. | | | | | | |
| 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/ | 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. | | | | | |
| Application Papers | · | | | | | |
| 9) The specification is objected to by the Examin | ier. | | | | | |
| 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ac | cepted or b) objected to by the | Examiner. | | | | |
| Applicant may not request that any objection to the | e drawing(s) be held in abeyance. Se | e 37 CFR 1.85(a). | | | | |
| Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). | | | | | | |
| 11,)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the E | Examiner. Note the attached Office | e Action or form PTO-152. | | | | |
| Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 | | | | | | |
| 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreig a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documer 2. Certified copies of the priority documer 3. Copies of the certified copies of the pri application from the International Bure: * See the attached detailed Office action for a list | nts have been received. Its have been received in Applicat Ority documents have been receive Au (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). | ion No ed in this National Stage | | | | |
| Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date | 4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail D 5) Notice of Informal F 6) Other: | ate | | | | |

10/726,316 Art Unit: 2167

DETAILED ACTION

- 1. This Office Action is in response to the applicants' communication received on October 22, 2007.
- 2. Claims 43-72 are presented for examination.
- 3. The applicants have not amended, cancelled, or added new claims.
- 4. Applicants' arguments with respect to claims 43-72 have been considered but are deemed to be moot in view of the new grounds of rejection.

Remarks

5. Regarding the telephone interview held with the Examiner and the applicants' representative on January 2, 2008, the Examiner expressed that the limitations of dependent claims 49 and 50 should be incorporated into independent claims 23, dependent claims 59 and 60 should be incorporated into independent claim 53, and dependent claims 69 and 70 should be incorporated into independent claim 63 in an effort to overcome a rejection to newly discovered references Hornick et al., Patent No. 6865573; Swamy et al., Patent No. 6874141; and Abileah et al., Patent No. 6904598. The Examiner explained that the incorporation of the dependent claims into the independent claims would result in an allowance of the clam limitations. The Examiner and the applicants' representative reached a tentative agreement on this matter. However, the applicants' representative expressed continuing this matter on a later date

Application/Control Number:

10/726,316 Art Unit: 2167

to confirm the inclusion of the limitations of dependent claims into the independent claims.

Claim Objections

6. Claims 23, 53, and 63 are objected to because of the following informalities:

The examiner has taken careful consideration into the claim structure and claim limitations presented in independent claims 23, 53, and 63. It is the opinion of the Examiner that independent claims 23, 53, and 63 should be written to include the limitations of dependent claims 49, 50, 59, 60, 69, and 70 to produce a useful, concrete, and tangible result.

The Examiner kindly requests that the applicants take the Examiner's suggested claim objections into careful consideration.

The remaining claims are dependent claims, these dependent claims are dependent on the above objected to base claims. They are therefore objected to for at least the reason set forth above.

Appropriate correction is required.

NAME OF CONTACT

7. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Cheryl Lewis whose telephone number is (571) 272-4113. The examiner can normally be reached on 6:30-3:00. If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, John Cottingham

10/726,316

Art Unit: 2167

can be reached on (571) 272-7079. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is (571) 273-8300.

(571) 273-4113 (Use this FAX #, only after approval by Examiner, for "INFORMAL" or "DRAFT" communication. Examiners may request that a formal paper/amendment be faxed directly to them on occasions.).

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist/ Technology Center (571) 272-2100.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only.

For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

/<u>Cheryl Lewis</u>/ Patent Examiner, A.U. 2167 January 7, 2008